

Name:

Group:

Debate evaluation for Salem and HUAC/ McCarthy trials.

Category	4	3	2	1	Score
Organization and Clarity	Completely clear and orderly presentation. Demonstrates exceptional knowledge of assigned Salem/ HCUA / McCarthy trial issues.	Mostly clear and orderly presentation. Showed adequate knowledge of trials and issues. Ideas organized in a tight, logical fashion.	Arguments were not tied well to the trials. Organization was sometimes not clear or logical.	Arguments were not tied to the trials and unorganized. Displayed apparent lack of organization.	
Use of Facts/ Statistics	Reasons used to support viewpoint are relevant to the trial, contains explicit examples from resources and easily comprehended by audience.	Adequate support for facts and relevant examples are given to support view point.	Every point was supported by facts and/or examples from the trials, but the relevance of some examples were questionable.	Few or no examples or facts used to support argument. Lack of knowledge about HCUA/ Salem trials.	
Rebuttal	All counter-arguments made in response to other team were accurate, relevant and strong.	Some effective counter arguments made. Acceptable response to other team during rebuttal.	Most counter-arguments were accurate and relevant to trials, but several were weak.	Counter-arguments were weak and irrelevant or there none of the counter arguments were relevant or accurate.	

Name:

Group:

Category	4	3	2	1	Score
Content	Team clearly understood the topic in-depth and presented their information forcefully and convincingly.	Team clearly understood topic in-depth and presented their argument with ease.	Team seemed to understand main points of the trials, but had trouble conveying their argument.	Team did not show adequate understanding of the topic	
Presentation Style	Tone of voice, eye contact and gestures used was excellent, consistent and convincing to audience.	Tone of voice, eye contact and gestures used was good and kept the attention of the audience.	Teams presentation style was awkward. Showed that their was some communication issues within group.	Team's presentation style did not keep the attention of the audience.	
Respect for other team	All statements and body language and responses were respectful.	Statement and responses were respectful but body language was not.	Most statements and responses were respectful but there were a few sarcastic remarks.	Statements and responses were consistently disrespectful and inappropriate.	