



THE VALUE OF BANACH LIMITS ON A CERTAIN SEQUENCE OF ALL RATIONAL NUMBERS IN THE INTERVAL $(0, 1)$

(Dedicated to the Memory of Professor Joe Diestel)

Bao Qi Feng

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Kent State University

Tuscarawas, 330 University Dr. NE

New Philadelphia, OH 44663, U. S. A.

e-mail: bfeng@tusc.kent.edu

Abstract

In this article, we show that a certain sequence r of all rational numbers in the interval $(0, 1)$:

$$r := \{r(j)\}$$

$$= \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{1st block}}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}}_{\text{2nd block}}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}}_{\text{3rd block}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{1}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{k}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{m}{m+1}}_{\text{mth block}}, \dots \right\},$$

where $(k, m+1) = 1$, is an almost convergent sequence in l^∞ , and

its value of Banach limits $L(r) = 1/2$ for all $L \in (l^\infty)^*$.

Received: March 2, 2019; Accepted: March 26, 2019

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40G05, 46A35, 46B15, 54A20; Secondary 11A51.

Keywords and phrases: Banach limits, almost convergent sequences, upper weight, lower weight, weight.

Communicated by Taras Goy; Editor: JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications; Published by Pushpa Publishing House, Prayagraj, India

Let l^∞ be the Banach space of bounded sequences $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of real numbers with norm $\|x\|_\infty = \sup |x(n)|$. A *Banach limit* L is a linear and bounded functional on l^∞ , which satisfies the three conditions:

- (a) if $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^\infty$ and $x(n) \geq 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $L(x) \geq 0$;
- (b) if $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^\infty$, and T is the *translation operator*: $Tx := \{x(2), x(3), \dots\}$, then $L(x) = L(Tx)$;
- (c) $L(1) = 1$, where $1 := \{1, 1, \dots\}$.

We know [4, p. 310] that there are infinitely many Banach limits in $(l^\infty)^*$, the dual space of l^∞ . Thus, it does not make sense to speak of finding a particular value for Banach limits of a sequence $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^\infty$, because normally the different Banach limits are different functionals. It is, however, interesting that there are some elements in l^∞ for which the values of all Banach limits are the same. For example, $L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n)$ for any Banach limit L , if x is an element of c , where c is the Banach space of convergent sequences of real numbers with the superior norm. Furthermore, this phenomenon can happen on some elements of $l^\infty \setminus c$. Let

$$a := \{1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(m-1)\text{-times}}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(m-1)\text{-times}}, 1, \dots\}.$$

Property (b) of Banach limits implies that for any Banach limit L ,

$$L(a) = L(Ta) = \dots = L(T^{m-1}a);$$

so by linearity and property (c) of Banach limits, we have that

$$L(a) + L(Ta) + \dots + L(T^{m-1}a) = L(1) = 1.$$

Hence

$$L(a) = L(Ta) = \dots = L(T^{m-1}a) = \frac{1}{m}.$$

Moreover, if

$$b := \{\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k\text{-times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(m-k)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k\text{-times}}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(m-k)\text{-times}}, \dots\},$$

then

$$L(b) = L(a) + L(T^{m-1}a) + \dots + L(T^{m-k+1}a) = \frac{k}{m}.$$

In [2], Lorentz called a sequence $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$ *almost convergent*, if its all Banach limit values $L(x)$ are the same for $L \in (l^{\infty})^*$. In this case, we call $L(x)$ the *F-limit* of x . In his paper, Lorentz proved the following main result:

Theorem 1 (Lorentz [2, Theorem 1]). *A sequence $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$ is almost convergent with F-limit $L(x)$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} x(t) = L(x)$$

uniformly in i .

This Lorentz theorem offers a way to find values of Banach limits for almost convergent sequences in l^{∞} . Based on Lorentz [2] and Sucheston [4], we give another way [1] to find the value of Banach limits of x , when x is an almost convergent sequences in l^{∞} .

Recalling some concepts, we created in [1].

Definition 1. A real number a is said to be a *sub-limit* of the sequence $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$, if there exists a subsequence $\{x(n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of x with

limit a . The set of all sub-limits of x is denoted by $S(x)$ and the set of all limit points of $S(x)$ is denoted by $S'(x)$.

Definition 2. Suppose $a \in S(x)$ for element $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$. A subsequence $\{x(n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of x is called an *essential subsequence* of a if it converges to a , and for any subsequence $\{x(m_t)\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ of x with limit a , except finite entries, all its entries are entries of $\{x(n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Definition 3. Let $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$ and let $\{x(n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsequence of x . Define

$$w^u(\{x(n_k)\}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_i \frac{A(\{k : i \leq n_k \leq i + n - 1\})}{n} \right)$$

and

$$w_l(\{x(n_k)\}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\inf_i \frac{A(\{k : i \leq n_k \leq i + n - 1\})}{n} \right),$$

where $A(E)$ is the number of elements of set E . $w^u(\{x(n_k)\})$ and $w_l(\{x(n_k)\})$ are called the *upper weight* and *lower weight* of the subsequence $\{x(n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, respectively. If $w^u(\{x(n_k)\}) = w_l(\{x(n_k)\})$, then the subsequence $\{x(n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is said to be *weightable* and the weight of $\{x(n_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is denoted by $w(\{x(n_k)\})$, and

$$w(\{x(n_k)\}) = w^u(\{x(n_k)\}) = w_l(\{x(n_k)\}).$$

We verified [1, Theorem 1] that all essential subsequences of a , $a \in S(x)$, have the same upper weight and lower weight, respectively. They are called the *upper weight* and *lower weight* of a , and denoted by $w^u(a)$ and $w_l(a)$, respectively. The *weight* of a is denoted by $w(a)$, if $w^u(a) = w_l(a)$. We have the following main results.

Theorem 2 [1, Theorem 4]. *Suppose $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$ and the set of all sub-limits of x , $S(x) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ is finite, where $a_i \neq a_j$, if $i \neq j$. If $w(a_t)$ exists for each t , $1 \leq t \leq m$, then x is almost convergent and for any Banach limit $L \in (l^{\infty})^*$,*

$$L(x) = \sum_{t=1}^m a_t w(a_t).$$

We see that for almost convergent sequence, the value of Banach limits only dependent on the sub-limit points and their weights. From Theorem 2, we obtain a familiar formula.

Corollary 1 [1, Corollary 2]. *For a given positive integer m , let*

$$x = \{x_1(1), \dots, x_m(1), x_1(2), \dots, x_m(2), \dots, x_1(n), \dots, x_m(n), \dots\},$$

where for each t , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_t(n) = a_t$, $1 \leq t \leq m$. Then x is almost convergent and for any Banach limit $L \in (l^{\infty})^$,*

$$L(x) = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m}{m}.$$

We actually proved a more general result as below.

Theorem 3 [1, Theorem 6]. *Suppose $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\infty}$ and $S(x)$ is infinite but countable and $S'(x)$, the set of limit points of $S(x)$, is a nonempty finite set. If $w(a)$ exists for all $a \in S(x)$, then x is almost convergent and for any Banach limit $L \in (l^{\infty})^*$,*

$$L(x) = \sum_{a \in S(x)} aw(a).$$

Natural question can be asked that does there exist an almost convergent sequence $x \in l^{\infty}$ for which $S'(x)$ is an infinite set? The answer is ‘Yes’. We

proved more that $S'(x)$ can be uncountable infinite in next Theorem 4 of this article.

We need some preliminary knowledge. Suppose m is a positive integer. We define $\varphi(m)$ to be the number of integers k , $1 \leq k < m$ such that $(k, m) = 1$, which denotes that k and m are relative primes. The function φ is called the *Euler phi function* [3, p. 54]. It is well known in number theory that if $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$, where the p 's are distinct primes and α_i , $1 \leq i \leq t$, are positive integers, then [3, p. 58, Theorem 2.7]

$$\varphi(m) = \prod_{j=1}^t (p_j - 1) p_j^{\alpha_j - 1}.$$

We introduce the following lemmas that we were unable to find in the literatures.

Lemma 1. *For any positive integer m ,*

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(k, m)=1, 1 \leq k < m} k = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(m).$$

Proof. Suppose that $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t}$. Note that there are $p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1$ numbers containing the factor of p_1 among the set of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m - 1\}$:

$$p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1, \dots, (p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1)p_1,$$

there are $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1$ numbers containing the factor of p_2 among the set of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m - 1\}$:

$$p_2, 2p_2, 3p_2, \dots, (p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1)p_2,$$

..., and there are $p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t - 1} - 1$ numbers containing the factor of p_t among the set of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m - 1\}$:

$$p_t, 2p_t, 3p_t, \dots, (p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1)p_t.$$

The sums of these t groups are

$$\frac{1}{2} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} (p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} m(p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1),$$

$$\frac{1}{2} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} (p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} m(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1),$$

⋮

$$\frac{1}{2} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} (p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} m(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1),$$

respectively.

Similarly, there are $p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1$ numbers containing the factor of $p_1 p_2$ among the set of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$:

$$p_1 p_2, 2p_1 p_2, 3p_1 p_2, \dots, (p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1)p_1 p_2,$$

..., and there are $p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_{t-1}^{\alpha_{t-1}-1} p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1$ numbers containing the factor of $p_{t-1} p_t$ among the set of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$:

$$p_{t-1} p_t, 2p_{t-1} p_t, 3p_{t-1} p_t, \dots, (p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_{t-1}^{\alpha_{t-1}-1} p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1)p_{t-1} p_t.$$

The sums of these $\binom{t}{2}$ groups are

$$\frac{1}{2} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} (p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} m(p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} - 1),$$

⋮

$$\frac{1}{2} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t} (p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} m(p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_{t-1}^{\alpha_{t-1}-1} p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1),$$

respectively, and so on.

Finally, there are $p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1$ numbers containing the factor of $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t$ among the set of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$:

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t, 2p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t, \dots, (p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1) p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t.$$

The sum of this group is

$$\frac{1}{2} p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t} (p_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} m (p_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots p_t^{\alpha_t-1} - 1).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(k,m)=1, 1 \leq k < m} k \\ &= \frac{m}{2} (m-1) - \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^t \left(p_j^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{i \neq j} p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \left(p_i^{\alpha_i-1} p_j^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{k \neq i, j} p_k^{\alpha_k} - 1 \right) \\ & \quad + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1} \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^t \left(p_j^{\alpha_j} \prod_{i \neq j} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} - 1 \right) + (-1)^t \frac{m}{2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j-1} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{m}{2} \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j} - 1 \right) - \sum_{j=1}^t \left(p_j^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{i \neq j} p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \left(p_i^{\alpha_i-1} p_j^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{k \neq i, j} p_k^{\alpha_k} - 1 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \cdots + (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^t \left(p_j^{\alpha_j} \prod_{i \neq j} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} - 1 \right) + (-1)^t \left(\prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j-1} - 1 \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{m}{2} \left[\prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j} - \sum_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{i \neq j} p_i^{\alpha_i} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} p_j^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{k \neq i, j} p_k^{\alpha_k} + \dots \\
&\quad \left. + (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j} \prod_{i \neq j} p_i^{\alpha_i-1} + (-1)^t \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j-1} - (1-1)^t \right] \\
&= \frac{m}{2} \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j-1} \left[\prod_{j=1}^t p_j - \sum_{j=1}^t \prod_{i \neq j} p_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \prod_{k \neq i, j} p_k + \dots + (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^t p_j + (-1)^t \right] \\
&= \frac{m}{2} \prod_{j=1}^t p_j^{\alpha_j-1} \prod_{j=1}^t (p_j - 1) = \frac{m}{2} \varphi(m).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(k, m)=1, 1 \leq k < m} k = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(m). \quad \square$$

Lemma 2. Suppose that m and l are positive integers with $l > 1$. Let $n = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+l} \varphi(j)$. Then

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l}{n} = 0.$$

Proof. We know that [3, p. 228, Theorem 6.21]

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(j) = \frac{3m^2}{\pi^2} + O(m \ln m),$$

where $f = O(g)$ means that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq cg(x)$ for all x in the intersection of domains of f and g . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+l} \varphi(j) = \frac{3(m+l)^2 - 3m^2}{\pi^2} + O((m+l)\ln(m+l)) - O(m \ln m) \\ &= \frac{6ml + 3l^2}{\pi^2} + O((m+l)\ln(m+l)) - O(m \ln m), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $n = O(l^2)$. Hence

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l}{n} = 0. \quad \square$$

Now we back to our goal of the following result.

Theorem 4. Define an element of l^∞ as follows:

$$r := \{r(j)\}$$

$$= \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{1st \ block}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}}_{2nd \ block}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}}_{3rd \ block}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{1}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{k}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{m}{m+1}}_{mth \ block}, \dots \right\},$$

where $(k, m+1) = 1$. Then $S'(r) = S(r) = [0, 1]$ is an uncountable set, and r is an almost convergent sequence in l^∞ and also $L(r) = 1/2$ for any Banach limit $L \in (l^\infty)^*$.

Proof. It is well known that $S'(r) = S(r) = [0, 1]$. We just verify the remaining parts of the conclusion. For all positive integers i , there exists an integer m such that

$$\sum_{j=2}^m \varphi(j) \leq i < \sum_{j=2}^{m+1} \varphi(j).$$

Let $i = \sum_{j=2}^m \varphi(j) + q$, where $0 \leq q < \varphi(m+1)$. For any positive integers n and m , m is determined by i above, there is a positive integer l such that

$$\sum_{j=2}^{m+l} \varphi(j) \leq i + n - 1 < \sum_{j=2}^{m+l+1} \varphi(j).$$

Let $i + n - 1 = \sum_{j=2}^{m+l} \varphi(j) + s$, where $0 \leq s < \varphi(m+l+1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum_{j=2}^{m+l} \varphi(j) + s - i + 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{m+l} \varphi(j) + s - \left(\sum_{j=2}^m \varphi(j) + q \right) + 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+l} \varphi(j) + s - q + 1, \end{aligned}$$

from which we have

$$\sum_{j=m+2}^{m+l} \varphi(j) = n - (\varphi(m+1) - q) - s - 1.$$

With the help of Lemma 1 and the fact of $\varphi(m) < m$ for any m , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=\sum_{j=2}^{m+1} \varphi(j)+1}^{\sum_{j=2}^{m+l} \varphi(j)} r(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{m+2} \sum_{(k,m+2)=1, 1 \leq k < m+2} k + \cdots + \frac{1}{k+l} \sum_{(k,m+l)=1, 1 \leq k < m+l} k \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varphi(m+2) + \cdots + \frac{1}{2} \varphi(m+l) \right] = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{t=m+2}^{m+l} \varphi(t) \\
&= \frac{n - (\varphi(m+1) - q) - s - 1}{2n} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(\varphi(m+1) - q) + \varphi(m+l+1) + 1}{2n} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(m+1) + (m+l+1) + 1}{2n} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2m+l+3}{2n} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2m+3}{2n} - \frac{l}{2n},
\end{aligned}$$

which holds for any positive integers n and any fixed positive integer i . We know that m is fixed when i is fixed, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2m+3}{2n} = 0$. Note that l goes to infinity as n goes to infinity. By Lemma 2, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) \right) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2m+3}{2n} - \frac{l}{2n} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=\sum_{j=2}^m \varphi(j)+1}^{\sum_{j=2}^{m+l+1} \varphi(j)} r(t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{(k, m+1)=1, 1 \leq k < m+1} k + \cdots + \frac{1}{k+l+1} \sum_{(k, m+l+1)=1, 1 \leq k < m+l+1} k \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varphi(m+1) + \cdots + \frac{1}{2} \varphi(m+l+1) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{t=m+1}^{m+l+1} \varphi(t) = \frac{n + \varphi(m+l+1) + q - s - 1}{2n} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(\varphi(m+l+1) - s) + q - 1}{2n} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{m+l+1 + m+1 - 1}{2n} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2m+1}{2n} + \frac{l}{2n},
\end{aligned}$$

which holds for any positive integer n and any fixed positive integer i . We

know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2m+1}{2n} = 0$. Using Lemma 2 again, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) \right) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2m+1}{2n} + \frac{l}{2n} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $i \in N$.

Summarizing the discussion above, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) = \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $i \in N$. Thus,

$$\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) \right) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) \right) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Using Lorentz's formula (6) [2, p.169], we have:

$$\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) \right) \leq L(r) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} r(t) \right)$$

for all Banach limits $L \in (l^\infty)^*$. Hence, $L(r) = \frac{1}{2}$, for all $L \in (l^\infty)^*$ and r is an almost convergent sequence in l^∞ . \square

From the argument of Theorem 4, we have a new corollary of Lorentz' theorem as follows:

Corollary 2. *Suppose $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^\infty$. If the following limits exist with the same value l ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=i}^{i+n-1} x(t) = l$$

for all positive integers $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then x is an almost convergent sequence in l^∞ and $L(x) = l$ for all Banach limits $L \in (l^\infty)^$.*

Notice. Corollary 2 is a complement of Theorem 6 in [1], which helps us in verifying and finding the value of Banach limits for an almost convergent sequence $x := \{x(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^\infty$ with $S'(x)$ as an uncountable set.

References

- [1] B. Q. Feng and J. L. Li, Some estimations of Banach limits, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 323(1) (2006), 481-496.
- [2] G. G. Lorentz, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, Acta Math. 80 (1948), 167-190.
- [3] M. B. Nathanson, Elementary methods in number theory, Springer 195 GTM, 2000.
- [4] L. Sucheston, Banach limits, Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 308-311.