Web Site Evaluation

Name:

Course: ITEC 19525

Instructor: Mr. Ely

Web Site Title:

Web URL:

Date Visited:

Brief description of the contents of the site:

Criteria and types of evidence	How the Web site being evaluated met the criterion	Rating				
		1 (low)	2	3	4	5 (high)
1. <u>Authority with regard to</u> <u>topic</u> —who is responsible for the site?:						
 Author of site (individual/institutional affiliation, organization 						
 Credentials, expertise, experience 						
 Contact information (name, e- mail, postal address) 						
 URL type suggest reputable affiliation (.edu=educational institution; .org=non-profit organization; .com=commercial enterprise; .net=Internet Service Provider; .gov=governmental body; .mil=military) 						
2. <u>Objectivity</u> —is the purpose of the site clear, including any particular viewpoint?						
• Statement of purpose/scope						
Intended audience						
 Information presented as factual or opinion, primary or secondary in origin 						
Criteria for inclusion of information						
Disclosure of sponsorship or underwriting						

Criteria and Types of Evidence	Web Site Evidence	Rating				
		1 (low)	2	3	4	5 (high)
3. <u>Accuracy</u> —is the information accurate?						
Facts documented or well- researched						
• Facts compare to related print or other online sources						
Links provided to quality Web resources						
•						
4. <u>Currency</u> —is the information current?						
Evidence of current content						
Pages date-stamped with latest update						
5. <u>Usability:</u> —is the site well- designed and stable?						
Site organization logical and easy to maneuver						
Content readable by intended audience						
 Information presented is error- free (spelling, punctuation) 						
Readily identifiable link back to the institutional or organizational home page						
Site reliably accessible						
Pages loaded quickly						
Sub-Total by rating of points awarded for each of the five criteria						

Assessment of Web Site				
Rating based on the total number of points:	Your personal assessment—would you recommend this site? Why or why not?			
5-9 points: poor				
10-14 points: fair				
15-19 points: good				
20-25 points: excellent				