

Table 5. Comparison of Keyword and LCSH Matches in Abstracts				
Keyword Matches in Abstract	# in Abstract (Kent)	% in Abstract (Kent)	# in Abstract (Strader)	% in Abstract (Strader)
Keyword matched exactly	331	56.48%	918	54.61%
Keyword matched, not in order	51	8.70%	170	10.11%
Keyword partially matched	91	15.53%	268	15.94%
Keyword was a variant	35	5.97%	147	8.74%
Keyword not present at all	78	13.31%	178	10.59%
Total	586	100.00%	1681	100.00%
Subject Heading Base Matches in Abstract	# in Abstract (Kent)	% in Abstract (Kent)	# in Abstract (Strader)	% in Abstract (Strader)
LCSH exactly matched	87	26.13%	317	26.84%
LCSH matched, not in order	36	10.81%	127	10.75%
LCSH partially matched	129	38.74%	200	16.93%
LCSH was variant	38	11.41%	170	14.39%
LCSH not present at all	43	12.91%	367	31.08%
Total	333	100.00%	1181	100.00%
Subdivision Matches in Abstract	# in Abstract (Kent)	% in Abstract (Kent)	# in Abstract (Strader)	% in Abstract (Strader)
Subdivision exactly matched	34	17.62%	146	31.13%
Subdivision matched, not in order	6	3.11%	17	3.62%
Subdivision partially matched	63	32.64%	80	17.06%
Subdivision was variant	22	11.40%	42	8.96%
Subdivision not present at all	68	35.23%	184	39.23%
Total	193	100.00%	469	100.00%