

## Senator Mustard's Plan

- We will raise everyone's value of $\tau_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{*}$, using the money to give everyone $\$ 1,000$ in food stamps.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i t}=\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{c}{y} \frac{\theta}{\left(1-\tau_{w}^{*}\right)}} \\
& \text { kenstant } \\
& \text { Harder }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Senator Mustard's Plan

- We will raise everyone's value of $\tau_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{*}$, using the money to give everyone $\$ 1,000$ in food stamps.
- A wash item for most people: we all spend more than $\$ 1,000$ a year on food.
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$\overline{1-\alpha+\frac{c}{y} \frac{\theta}{\left(1-\tau_{w}^{*}\right)}}$ will decline and we will be worse


## The Argument

- If we were all exactly equal, this would be a lose-lose plan with hours of work declining and a government bureaucracy set up to get us just back where we started.
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## The Argument

- But there is an important element of truth in this plan, for most of the money would go back to the people who paid the taxes.
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## The Argument

- If we were all exactly equal, this would be a lose-lose plan with hours of work declining and a government bureaucracy set up to get us just back where we started.
- Of course, we are all not equally well off and there would be some beneficiaries.

$$
\underset{V N T V}{\mathrm{KENT}} \mathrm{STATE}_{1 i T} \quad \text { More on Why Americans Work }
$$

## The Argument

- But there is an important element of truth in this plan, for most of the money would go back to the people who paid the taxes.
- Perhaps this is just a cost of redistribution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{KENT}_{V+1} \mathrm{STATHT}_{1} \mathrm{TAT}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad \text { More on Why Americans Work } \\
& \text { Harder }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Alternative

- Lets suppose we "means tested" the program.
- Individuals earning less than (say) $\$ 15,000$ a year would not get the benefits.
- The cost is less, and the disincentive effects are fewer.
- There are lots of similar programs: housing, education, medical care, food stamps, etc.
- Suppose the benefits total $\$ 18,000$ a year.


## The Dilemma

## The Dilemma

- There are lots of similar programs: housing, education, medical care, food stamps, etc.
John Smith is making $\$ 12,000$ a year. What incentive does he have to work harder?

Sally Jones is earning $\$ 25,000$ a year. Maybe she should just quit and take the $\$ 18,000$ package.
KENTSTATE More on Why Americans Work Harder

End
© 2004 Charles W. Upton. All rights reserved

