## Borrowing

- The government need not balance its books each year.
- It must eventually pay back what it borrows.
- Is there a case for borrowing?
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## The Case for Deficit Financing

- The optimal tax policy is a smooth policy.
- So, if spending oscillates, lets borrow to keep taxes smooth,
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## Why Deficits can be Good

| Sales tax <br> this Year | Sales tax <br> next Year | Efficiency Loss <br> from this year's <br> Sales Tax | Efficiency Loss <br> from next year's <br> Sales Tax |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $\$ 100$ | 0 |
| $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 0 | $\$ 100$ |
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## Optimal Policy I

- Flatland has total national income of $\$ 100$ million. It is not expected to grow.
- Current government expenditures are $\$ 15$ million, and the national debt of $\$ 100$ million carries a $5 \%$ interest rate.
- What is the optimal deficit reduction policy?



## Optimal Policy I



## Optimal Policy II

- Wedgwood has total national income of $\$ 100$ million, is expected to grow at $3 \%$ per year, as are current government expenditures of $\$ 15$ million per year.
- The $\$ 100$ million debt carries an interest rate of $5 \%$.
- What is the optimal deficit reduction policy?
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## Optimal Policy II

- Wedgwood has total national income of $\$ 100$ million, year, as are cu expenditures c
- The $\$ 100$ mill rate of $5 \%$.
- What is the or

Let the national debt grow at 3\% per year. policy?

$$
\underset{v N i v i s i+1 T Y}{\text { KENTSTATE }} \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Yet Even More on Debt and } \\
\text { Taxes }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Optimal Policy II

Another


## Optimal Policy III

- Assume: the United States of Antarctica has a GDP of $\$ 11$ trillion, expected to grow at $5 \%$ a year, as are government expenditures.
- The debt is about $\$ 4$ trillion.
- What is the optimal deficit policy?
$\underset{v \pi i v i s i n i t y}{K E N T A T E} \quad$ Yet Even More on Debt and


## Optimal Policy III

- Assume: the Inited States of Antarctica has a GDP of Let the national ow at $5 \%$ a year, : debt grow at $5 \%$ expenditures.
per year.
- The debt is about $\$ 4$ trinion.
- What is the optimal deficit policy?


## KENTSTATE

## Optimal Policy IV

- Assume: the Inited States of Antarctica has a GDP of Policy A: raise ow at $5 \%$ a year, $\quad$ taxes by $\$ 300$ expenditures. billion
- The debt is about $\$ 4$ minnon.
- The current deficit is $\$ 500$ billion per year and that rate will be flat.


## KENTSTATE
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## Optimal Policy IV

| Policy B: do nothing, let the debt/GDP ratio rise. Less efficiency loss, for we have lower taxes. | of Antarctica <br> 4: raise <br> y $\$ 300$ <br> ion <br> billion per year |
| :---: | :---: |



