

More on the Basics of the Demand for Money

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R \eta$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta$$

The Nominal Rate

- Interest stated in money.
- I lend you 100 pictures of George; you promise to give me 106 back.

$$r_N = 6\%$$

The Real Rate

- Interest stated in purchasing power.
- I lend you enough to purchase 100 slices of pizza; you promise to repay me enough to purchase 103.

$$r_R = 3\%$$

The Relation

- I have promised you a real return of r_R
- The inflation rate is η
- What kind of nominal return (r_N) have I promised?

The Relation

$$[\$100(1+r_R)]$$

Amount due with no inflation

The Relation

$$[\$100(1+r_R)](1+\eta)$$

Inflation adjustment

The Relation

$$[\$100(1+r_R)](1+\eta) =$$

$$\$100(1+r_N)$$

Amount due
in nominal
terms

The Relation

$$[\cancel{\$100}(1+r_R)](1+\eta) =$$

$$\cancel{\$100}(1+r_N)$$

The Relation

$$(1+r_R)(1+\eta) = (1+r_N)$$

The Fisher Equation

$$(1+r_N) = (1+r_R)(1+\eta)$$

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta$$

An Aside

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

$$\eta = 2\%$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

$$\eta = 2\%$$

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

$$\eta = 2\%$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_N &= r_R + \eta + r_R\eta \\ &= 0.03 + 0.02 \\ &\quad + (0.03)(0.02) \\ &= 0.0506 \end{aligned}$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

$$\eta = 2\%$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_N &= r_R + \eta + r_R\eta \\ &= 0.0506 \end{aligned}$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 0.05$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

$$\eta = 2\%$$

$$r_R = 50\%$$

$$\eta = 50\%$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_N &= r_R + \eta + r_R\eta \\ &= 0.0506 \end{aligned}$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 0.05$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

$$\eta = 2\%$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_N &= r_R + \eta + r_R\eta \\ &= 0.0506 \end{aligned}$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 0.05$$

$$r_R = 50\%$$

$$\eta = 50\%$$

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

$$\eta = 2\%$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_N &= r_R + \eta + r_R\eta \\ &= 0.0506 \end{aligned}$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 0.05$$

$$r_R = 50\%$$

$$\eta = 50\%$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_N &= r_R + \eta + r_R\eta \\ &= 0.50 + 0.50 \\ &\quad + (0.50)(0.50) \\ &= 1.25 \end{aligned}$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\% \qquad r_R = 50\%$$

$$\eta = 2\% \qquad \eta = 50\%$$

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta \qquad r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta$$

$$= 0.0506 \qquad = 1.25$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 0.05 \qquad r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 1.00$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

$$\eta = 2\%$$

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta$$

$$= 0.0506$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 0.05$$

$$r_R = 50\%$$

5% versus
5.06%. Not bad

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta$$

$$= 1.25$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 1.00$$

How good is the approximation

$$r_R = 3\%$$

100% versus

125%. Pretty bad

125%. Pretty bad

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 0.05$$

$$r_R = 50\%$$

$$\eta = 50\%$$

$$r_N = r_R + \eta + r_R\eta$$

$$= 1.25$$

$$r_N \cong r_R + \eta = 1.00$$

End of Aside

Prices Double Every Year

Bank Balance	0 Trips	One Trip	Two Trips	Five Trips
Monday	\$ 80	\$ 140	\$ 160	\$ 180
Tuesday	\$ 80	\$ 140	\$ 160	\$ 160
Wednesday	\$ 80	\$ 140	\$ 120	\$ 140
Thursday	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 120	\$ 120
Friday	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 100
Saturday	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 80
Daily Balance	480	\$ 660	\$ 720	\$ 780
Interest (0.02)	\$ 0.960	\$ 1.320	\$ 1.440	\$ 1.560
Trip Cost	\$ 0.120	\$ 0.240	\$ 0.600	\$ 0.600
Net Earnings	\$ 0.720	\$ 0.870	\$ 0.840	\$ 0.570

Which rate should we use here? The real or the nominal?

Prices Double Every Year

Bank Balance	0 Trips	One Trip	Two Trips	Five Trips
Monday	\$ 40	\$ 160	\$ 180	\$ 180
Tuesday	\$ 40	\$ 160	\$ 160	\$ 160
Wednesday	\$ 40	\$ 120	\$ 140	\$ 140
Thursday	\$ 80	\$ 120	\$ 120	\$ 120
Friday	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 100	\$ 100
Saturday	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 80	\$ 80
Daily Balance	600	\$ 720	\$ 780	\$ 780
Interest (0.02)	\$ 1.200	\$ 1.440	\$ 1.560	\$ 1.560
Trip Cost	\$ 0.240	\$ 0.600	\$ 0.600	\$ 0.600
Net Earnings	\$ 0.840	\$ 0.840	\$ 0.570	\$ 0.570

r_N , the nominal rate, for money is a nominal asset. The interest we lose by being in money is a nominal rate.

Prices Double Every Year

Wealth calculations and the like can be done either in real rates if we discount real (inflation adjusted) cash flows or in nominal rates if we discount nominal cash flows.

	0 Trips	One Trip	Two Trips	Five Trips
Monday	\$180	\$180	\$180	\$180
Tuesday	\$160	\$160	\$160	\$160
Wednesday	\$120	\$140	\$120	\$140
Thursday	\$120	\$120	\$120	\$120
Friday	\$80	\$100	\$80	\$100
Saturday	\$80	\$80	\$80	\$80
Daily Balance	\$720	\$780	\$720	\$780
Interest (0.00)	\$30	\$1,170	\$30	\$1,170
Trip Cost	\$40	\$0.600	\$40	\$0.600
Net Cost	\$40	\$0.570	\$40	\$0.570

Prices Double Every Year

Intuitively, money is subject to "depreciation", that is the loss of value as a function of inflation, and including a term for inflation captures this effect.

	0 Trips	One Trip	Two Trips	Five Trips
Monday	\$180	\$180	\$180	\$180
Tuesday	\$160	\$160	\$160	\$160
Wednesday	\$120	\$140	\$120	\$140
Thursday	\$120	\$120	\$120	\$120
Friday	\$80	\$100	\$80	\$100
Saturday	\$80	\$80	\$80	\$80
Daily Balance	\$720	\$780	\$720	\$780
Interest (0.00)	\$30	\$1,170	\$30	\$1,170
Trip Cost	\$40	\$0.600	\$40	\$0.600
Net Earn	\$40	\$0.570	\$40	\$0.570

Properties of Money Demand Function

- Demand for *nominal* money balances rises in proportion to prices.
- Demand for *real* money balances rises with income.
- Demand for money balances is a function of the *nominal* interest rate.

End

©2005 Charles W. Upton.
All rights reserved