

What Business Cycles Cost Us Part 2

$$U = \log(\tilde{c}_1) + \gamma \log(\tilde{c}_2) + \gamma^2 \log(\tilde{c}_3) + \dots$$

The Immortal Consumer

$$U = \log(\tilde{c}_1) + \gamma \log(\tilde{c}_2) + \gamma^2 \log(\tilde{c}_3) + \dots$$

Two Changes

c is uncertain, the weights are declining

$$U = \log(\tilde{c}_1) + \gamma \log(\tilde{c}_2) + \gamma^2 \log(\tilde{c}_3) + \dots$$

Two Changes

c is uncertain, the weights are declining

$$U = \log(\tilde{c}_1) + \gamma \log(\tilde{c}_2) + \gamma^2 \log(\tilde{c}_3) + \dots$$

U is uncertain, but we can compute expected utility

Two Changes

c is uncertain, the weights are declining

$$U = \log(\tilde{c}_1) + \gamma \log(\tilde{c}_2) + \gamma^2 \log(\tilde{c}_3) + \dots$$

U is uncertain, but we can compute expected utility

Lets call that U_{now}

Expected Utility

$$E(U) = E[\log(\tilde{c}_1)] + \gamma E[\log(\tilde{c}_2)] + \gamma^2 E[\log(\tilde{c}_3)] + \dots$$

Suppose we could avoid business cycles

Expected Utility

$$E(U) = \left[\begin{array}{l} c_1^* = E(\tilde{c}_1) \\ c_2^* = E(\tilde{c}_2) \\ c_3^* = E(\tilde{c}_3) \\ \dots \end{array} \right]^{-\gamma}$$

Suppose we could avoid business cycles

Expected Utility

$$E(U) = \log(c_1^*) + \gamma \log(c_2^*) + \gamma^2 \log(c_3^*) + \dots$$

Expected Utility

$$E(U) = \log(c_1^*) + \gamma \log(c_2^*) + \gamma^2 \log(c_3^*) + \dots$$

Lets call that $U_{no-cycle}$

The Question

$$U_{now} < U_{no-cycle}$$

- How much of an increase in consumption?

How Big an Increase

$$E(U) = E[\log((1 + \lambda)\tilde{c}_1)] + \gamma E[\log((1 + \lambda)\tilde{c}_2)] + \gamma^2 E[\log((1 + \lambda)\tilde{c}_3)] + \dots$$

Expected Utility

$$E(U) = E[\log((1 + \lambda)\tilde{c}_1)] + \gamma E[\log((1 + \lambda)\tilde{c}_2)] + \gamma^2 E[\log((1 + \lambda)\tilde{c}_3)] + \dots$$

Lets call that U_λ

The Question

$$U_{now} < U_{no-cycle}$$

- And, for some value of λ

$$U_{\lambda} = U_{no-cycle}$$

The Question

This gives us the benefit from eliminating uncertainty *cycle*

- And, for some value of λ

$$U_{\lambda} = U_{no-cycle}$$

The Answer

$$\lambda \approx 0.015$$

The Answer

$$\lambda \approx 0.015$$

Eliminating business cycles is worth as much as a 1.5% increase in consumption.

The Answer

$$\lambda \approx 0.015$$

Eliminating business cycles is worth as much as a 1.5% increase in consumption.

Since C runs about \$7,000 billion per year, this is equal to about \$105 billion per year.

End

©2006 Charles W. Upton.
All rights reserved