





# Marginal Rate of Substitution

## U = AB

• In this example, the following points lie on a single indifference curve:

| Apples  | 16 | 8 | 5.33 | 4 | 3.2 | 2.67 |
|---------|----|---|------|---|-----|------|
| Bananas | 1  | 2 | 3    | 4 | 5   | 6    |

Budget Constraints

KENT STATE





| Marg                | ina          | l Ra            | te o            | f Su             | bstit           | utio            |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| How ma<br>to substi | ny a<br>tute | pples<br>for ar | is ou<br>1 addi | r cons<br>tional | sumer<br>l bana | : willi<br>ina? |
|                     |              |                 |                 |                  |                 |                 |
| Apples              | 16           | 8               | 5.33            | 4                | 3.2             | 2.67            |
| Apples<br>Bananas   | 16•<br>1     | 8               | 5.33<br>3       | 4                | 3.2<br>5        | 2.67<br>6       |

### Marginal Rate of Substitution · How many apples is our consumer willing to substitute for an additional banana? Apples 16+8 5.33 + 4 3.2 2.67 Bananas 1 2 3 4 5 6 MRS 8.00 1.33 0.80 .053 2.67 **Budget Constraints**

KENT STATE













































# MRS and MRT • Utility maximization requires that MRS = MRT• Why? Suppose $p_a = 50\phi$ and $p_b = 10\phi$ $MRT = 50\phi/10\phi = 5$ • Suppose MRS = 4. That is, I would be willing to take four bananas for one apple.

Budget Constraints

KENT STATE







# MRS and MRT Suppose MRS = 6. That is, I would be willing to take six bananas for one apple. Another way of putting that is that I would be willing to give another apple and be better off

|           | End                       |
|-----------|---------------------------|
|           | ©2004 Charles<br>W. Upton |
| KENTSTATE | Budget Constraints        |