

## Simple Business Games

		Firm B B	
		1	2
Firm A *	1	$\pi_B = 18$ $\pi_A = 18$	$\pi_B = 20$ $\pi_A = 10$
	2	$\pi_B = 10$ $\pi_A = 20$	$\pi_B = 11$ $\pi_A = 11$

## How much to Produce

Dominant Strategy Nash Equilibrium

		Firm B B	
		1	2
Firm A $\pi$	1	$\pi_B = 18$ $\pi_A = 18$	$\pi_B = 20$ $\pi_A = 10$
	2	$\pi_B = 10$ $\pi_A = 20$	$\pi_B = 11$ $\pi_A = 11$

## Do all Games Have Dominant Strategies?

Automotive Firm 1 has great engineering skills. Firm 2 has good designers and does great styling.

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 15$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 16$ $\pi_1 = 8$	$\pi_2 = 18$ $\pi_1 = 12$

## Do all Games Have Dominant Strategies?

Firm 1 has a dominant strategy: technical change.

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 15$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 16$ $\pi_1 = 8$	$\pi_2 = 18$ $\pi_1 = 12$

## Do all Games Have Dominant Strategies?

Firm 2 does not have a dominant strategy.

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 15$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 16$ $\pi_1 = 8$	$\pi_2 = 18$ $\pi_1 = 12$

## Do all Games Have Dominant Strategies?

Firm 2's choice is still obvious: assume #1 acts rationally. Adopt a technical change.

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 15$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 16$ $\pi_1 = 8$	$\pi_2 = 18$ $\pi_1 = 12$

## Do all Games Have Dominant Strategies?

The lesson: assume your opponent will act rationally.

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 15$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 16$ $\pi_1 = 8$	$\pi_2 = 18$ $\pi_1 = 12$

## Early and Late Entry

The new firm has a dominant strategy

		Firm 2's choices of when to enter the new brand	
		Early entry	Late entry
Firm 1's choices of When to extend the brand	Early entry	$\pi_2 = 60$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 45$
	Late entry	$\pi_2 = 80$ $\pi_1 = 95$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 35$

## Early and Late Entry

The existing firm does not have a dominant strategy but it can rely on the new firm's strategy

		Firm 2's choices of when to enter the new brand	
		Early entry	Late entry
Firm 1's choices of When to extend the brand	Early entry	$\pi_2 = 60$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 45$
	Late entry	$\pi_2 = 80$ $\pi_1 = 95$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 35$

## Early and Late Entry

Moral of the story. Let the new guys try the gutsy strategies.

Stodgy pays.

		Firm 2's choices of when to enter the new brand	
		Early entry	Late entry
Firm 1's choices of When to extend the brand	Early entry	$\pi_2 = 60$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 45$
	Late entry	$\pi_2 = 80$ $\pi_1 = 95$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 35$

## Multiple Nash Equilibria

This is the styling problem with a new payoff matrix.

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 20$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$	$\pi_2 = 25$ $\pi_1 = 25$

## Multiple Nash Equilibria

Now there are two Nash Equilibria

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 20$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$	$\pi_2 = 25$ $\pi_1 = 25$

New entrant can enter either new or wait.  
Established Firm can extend early or late.

		Firm 2's choices of when to enter the new brand	
		Early entry	Late entry
Firm 1's choices of when to extend the brand	Early entry	$\pi_2 = 60$ $\pi_1 = 40$	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 45$
	Late entry	$\pi_2 = 80$ $\pi_1 = 95$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 35$

## Multiple Nash Equilibria

Look at the first Nash Equilibrium. Neither firm has reason to change

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 20$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$	$\pi_2 = 25$ $\pi_1 = 25$

## Multiple Nash Equilibria

Ditto for the second equilibrium

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 20$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$	$\pi_2 = 25$ $\pi_1 = 25$

## Multiple Nash Equilibria

This is an introduction. It shows how to get going. There is more to come.

		Firm 2's choices	
		Technical model change	Styling model change
Firm 1's choices	Technical Model Change	$\pi_2 = 20$ $\pi_1 = 20$	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$
	Styling Model Change	$\pi_2 = 55$ $\pi_1 = 60$	$\pi_2 = 25$ $\pi_1 = 25$

End

©2003 Charles W. Upton